G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.* 1867

~~191~~

( S.R )

*Later references.*

File No. 3

Serial Nos. 1 – 4

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1 to 4

~~M.~~ Part B for June 1868.

---

SUBJECT.

Computing Stamp duty in cases involving boundaries and irrigation disputes.

---

Indexed

Inayat Nazeer Hussain

W D 88—GPB—50,000—21-7-16

1868

Section 3 R
File No 3918
Serial No 1

The Secretary
Viceroy &c
&c &c &c
31/12/68

&c &c &c

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 428 dated 23rd April

Re 8

&c &c &c

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Return prescribed by Section 50 of the Stamp duty Act, of the complaints of the Exemption alluded to and observed the Emoluments of the Patwaris and Shanbogs are Alienations, Govt Revenue

Included in Rent Free

2

S.R

No 3-67

Money value of the subject matter cannot be ascertained, should be ordered in case referred to [illegible] This letter should only be communicated to the Secy Supt & the Supt. of Govt from that Office

[illegible] 6/6/68

Section S.R.
File No 3-67
Serial No 2

Rec'd 1868 No 33

From The Superintendent of Inquiry
To The Secretary to the Commr. of Mysore
Dated 30 May
Despatched 2 June
Received 5 June
Enclosures No.

General No 102 1868-69
Rev. No 37
Subject In reference to Rev. No 428 dated 23rd April 1868.
Forwards for orders
Copy of a letter from the Dy Supt of Chitaldroog requesting instructions regarding the mode of computing stamp duty for suits involving boundary & irrigation disputes.

Commr's Office 8 June 68

I concur with the S.S.R. that para 2 of note 6 of articles 11 should prescribe Rs 10 as the stamp in suits in which the [illegible] 12/6/68

General No. 102
Rev. 31.

3

Sugar Division
Superintendent's Office
Camp Cuddoor 30th May 1868.

Section
File No
Serial No 842

To
The Secretary to the Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

Referring to your communication No 428 dated the 23rd April last I have the honor to forward for the orders of the Commissioner, Copy of letter No 88. dated the 15th May 1868, from the Deputy Superintendent Chulkdroog, requesting instructions, regarding the mode of computing the value of stamp duty, for suits involving Boundary and Irrigation disputes —

The market value of the subject matter, of such disputes, cannot possibly be estimated, and I beg to know whether para 2. under Note B. of Article XI of Act XXVI of 1867. which says down that 10 Rs worth Stamp, should be levied, is to be held applicable to such cases.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obdt Servant

Superintendent.

No 88.

Chitaldroog District

Dy. Superintendents Office

15th May 1868

Rec'd 18th

To

The Superintendent of the

Nuggur Division

Sir

In acknowledging the receipt of your Circular Docket No 58 dated 8th Instant, forwarding copies of correspondence regarding the Stamp duty leviable on certain revenue suits, I have the honor with reference to the 2nd para of the Secretary's letter No 428/8 of 23rd Ultimo, to request you will be good enough to favor me with instructions regarding the mode of computing the value of Stamp duty that should be levied on suits regarding disputes of boundaries or irrigation matters.

I have the honor to be &c

Signed P Krishna Row

Offg Dy. Superintendent

(True Copy)

Illegible

For Superintendent

Nuggur Division

Section S.R 5
File No 3-67
Serial No 3

No 555. From Revenue 1868/9. No 1881/30

To The Supdt Nuggur Dist.

Dated 9th June 1868
Despatched —
Received

Section S.R
File No 3-67
Serial No 3

**Enclosures No.**

General No 1381 } 1868-69.
Revenue No 30 }

Your letter No 102/31 of the 30th May 1868, requesting instruction regarding the mode of computing Stamp duty leviable on suits involving boundary and irrigation disputes.

In reply states that para 2 under article 11 of Act XXVI of 1867, which prescribes Rs 10 as the value of the Stamp in suits in which the money value of the subject matter cannot be estimated, should be followed in the case referred to in your letter No 13. 12/5/68.

See also Circular to Supt Nundidroog and Chittapaum No 1114 of 10th June 1868 H E

6 D 555.

Rev: 1868. No 1414
From Partly Circular. 8

To the Supte Nundidroog Dr
acknowgt

Dated 10 June
Despatched
Received

**Enclosures None**

General No. 1414 } 1868-69.
Rev: No. 8 }

Section S. R.
File No 3-6-1
Serial No 4

Communicates Rules for
computing the value of Stamps in
Suits involving claims to Aya
Grain or other perquisites
attached to the Office of Shanbog
Potail &c., as also in those
involving claims to Boundary
and Irrigation disputes.

Ld. N. 1414

Revenue. 8.

No. 6. C.

Castle Ely: 18th June 1868

To

The Supts, Trinidad & Tobago &c.
Archipelago

Sir,

I have the honor, by desire of the Commrs. to communicate for the guidance of the Revenue Courts in Chambs. the following rules for computing the value of Skins in suits of the description given below, here are
for which no express provisions in Act XXVI of 1867.

Section
File No 3487
Serial No 64

In cases involving claims to Cocoa grain or other perquisites attached to the Office of Chambo, Potail &c., and in cases where the right to a Potaile or other Office carrying with it emoluments in grain, Land &c. is affected, the Skins duty should be fixed at the rate prescribed for Skins in suits involving claims to Lands paying Revenue to Govt.

D 9/6/68

In such cases
The duty is calculated ~~in the~~ ~~Castle~~ case according to eight times the yearly Revenue, and following this analogy eight times the yearly value of the Grain and money Land to Chambouges and Potails, should be the basis of computation.

In suits involving Boundary

boundary and irrigation disputes, in which the money value of the subject-matter cannot be estimated, Rs.10 should be charged as the Stamp duty, under Para 2, note b of Article 11 of Act XXVI of 1867.

I have &c.

Secretary

8